

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/662,478 | 09/16/2003 | Sung-Bin Hong | 44892 | 9628 |
| Mark W. Hroz | 7590 10/21/200 enchik | EXAMINER | | |
| Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. Suite 600 1300 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 | | | GEBRIEL, SELAM T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2622 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/21/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/662,478 | HONG, SUNG-BIN | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| SELAM T. GEBRIEL | 2622 | | |

| | SELAM T. GEBRIEL | 2622 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following judiplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 36(a) and the appropriat | extension fee |
| have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | iled within two months | of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\big \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | planation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Ngoc-Yen T. VU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622 | | | |

The proposed amended limitation "automatically controlling the shutter driving unit to periodically driver the shutter" was not presented previously, therefore the newly amended claims require further search and consideration.